

Application No. 10/648,967

October 25, 2005

Amendment responsive to Office Action of September 21, 2005

Remarks

Pending Claims 1–9 stand rejected by the Examiner under 35 U.S.C. §112 while pending Claims 10-20 stand allowed by the Examiner. Examiner rejected Claims 1-9 for lack of support of the word “automatically” in the phrase “automatically specifying which control structure among said plurality of control structures is accessible by said processor utilizing said memory window manager.”

In response to the Office action, Applicant has amended claim 1 to address the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112. The word “automatically” is deleted and applicant contends that “specifying which control structure among said plurality of control structures is accessible by said processor utilizing said memory window manager” is supported within the specification through the use of the disclosed base address, item size, item number, and address calculation algorithm.

Claims 10-20 remain allowed and Claims 1-9 are presented for further examination. Should the Examiner discern any remaining impediment to the prompt allowance of the aforementioned claims that might be resolved or overcome with the aid a telephone conference, he is cordially invited to call the undersigned at the telephone number set out below.

Respectfully submitted,



Richard Krukar
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No. 53, 162

Telephone No.: (505) 314-1270 Fax No.: (505) 314-1307

October 25, 2005